

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,877	BUCHANAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leigh C. Maier	1623	

All Participants:

Status of Application: allowed

(1) Leigh C. Maier.

(3) _____

(2) Nhat Phan.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 January 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Exr indicated that the labeling of Figure 6 was not in agreement with the description in the specification. Mr. Phan agreed to submit a corrected drawing that would be entered by examiner's amendment. See attached supplemental NOA.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)